



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,876	12/29/2000	Hideo Itoh	201419US0X	6748
7:	590 10/03/2002			
OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER	
			CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN	
ARLINGTON,		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		( )	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	B
	09/749,876	ITOH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Alicia Chevalier	1772	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cov r sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may by within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Meterope the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	unication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> .	July 2002 .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal m Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (	natters, prosecution as to the n C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	nerits is
4) Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,8,10,11,14,15,20,2</u>	<u>23,25,26 and 29-49</u> is/are	e withdrawn from consideration	١.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9,12,13,16-19,21,22,24,27 ar</u>	nd 28 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	i. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		ge
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro			•
Attachment(s)		- <del>-</del>	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	

Application/Control Number: 09/749,876

Art Unit: 1772

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I and species 1b, 2c, 3f, and 4h, claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 16-19, 21, 22, 24, 27, and 28 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no evidence of record to show that the claimed product can be made by this alleged process or how the alleged process is materially different from the claimed process. Applicant further argues that the Office has not shown that a burden exists in searching all the claims of the present application. This is not found persuasive because the examiner has met the burden required by the MPEP § 603 for proper restriction.

There are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction between patentably distinct inventions:

- (A) The inventions must be independent (see MPEP § 802.01, § 806.04, § 808.01) or distinct as claimed (see MPEP § 806.05 § 806.05(i)); and
- (B) There must be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is required (see MPEP § 803.02, § 806.04(a) § 806.04(i), § 808.01(a), and § 808.02).

For the first requirement, the inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). As stated before, in the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as forming the openings by discontinuously adhering a layer.

Art Unit: 1772

Applicant claims forming the openings by a dry etching method which is a materially different process than discontinuously adhering a layer. As for the second requirement, a burden exists because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 16-19, 21, 22, 24, 27, and 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the arrangement of the plurality of thin-film layers of photocatalytic material, the plurality of thin-film layers of support material, and the vacant layers. For example, are all the layers of photocatalytic material next to each other, do the layers alternate with the layers of support material, are they side by side, stacked, etc. The scope claim 1's structure is unclear in view of the specification and the drawings. The drawings show the

Art Unit: 1772

relationship of the layers as alternating, and further more not as continuous films. These features are not being claimed.

The term "vacant layer" in claim 1 is unclear which renders the claims vague and indefinite. It is unclear from the claim language, specification, and drawings what constitutes a "vacant layer." The claim language makes it sound like a physical layer that exists in the member, but the drawing appear to be pointing to spaces between adjacent layers of photocatalytic material and next to the support layer. For all intense and purposes since applicant has not defined the relationship between the three layers the vacant layer reads on space around the member and is taken as such for purposes of examination.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the arrangement of thin-film layers of photocatalytic material and the vacant layers. As stated before it is unclear where in the structure these layers are. Is the vacant layer the space above the photocatalytic material with openings and that's how they are capable of being in communication?

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the arrangement of the plurality of thin-film layers of photocatalytic material, the plurality of thin-film layers of support material, and the vacant layers. It is unclear how that vacant layers, which appear from the specification and drawings to

Art Unit: 1772

nothing more then space, are maintained by the thin-film layers of photocatalytic material and thin-film layers of support material with out knowing their structural relationship to each other. Furthermore, it is unclear how empty space can be circular, elliptical, or polygonal. Is applicant trying to claim the thin-film layers of photocatalytic material and thin-film layers of support material have holes/grooves in them in those configurations?

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 7, 9, 12, 13, 16-19, 21, 22, 24, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chattha et al. (5,102,853).

Chattha discloses a three-way catalyst for automotive emission control comprising an alumia substrate, a plurality of discontinuous palladium layers (support material) and a plurality of titanium dioxide (photocatalytic material), see figure 3. As seen by figure three the layers are spaced by openings between the layers and the surface area of the layers becomes larger when going from the surface toward the bottom layer.

6. Claims 1, 3, 4, 12, 16, 18, 19 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hums (4,847,234).

Application/Control Number: 09/749,876

Art Unit: 1772

Page 6

Hums discloses a layer of titanium dioxide (photocatalytic material), ceramic composition (support material), a metal plate (substrate), a ceramic composition (support material), and a layer of titanium dioxide (photocatalytic material), see the figure.

7. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ford et al. (3,931,049).

Ford discloses a catalyst comprising a copper layer (support material), a nickel layer (photocatalytic material), a copper layer (support material), and substrate, a copper layer (support material), a nickel layer (photocatalytic material), and a copper layer (support material) (figure 3). Figure 7 shows that the catalyst may comprise polygonal openings.

### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Okajim a et al. (4,695,301) discloses a similar catalyst.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (703) 305-1139. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays

If attempts to reach the Examiner are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached by dialing (703) 308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization official non-final papers is (703) 872-9310. The fax number for after final papers is (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose phone number is (703) 308-0661.

ac 9/26/02

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 9/29/02